THE BEAUTY SIGNAL — FREE-TIER EDITION

The Ingredient Narratives Reshaping Skincare

Squalane, postbiotics, tremella, and the new vocabulary of credibility taking hold across formulator-led brands.

Member Intelligence Series · May 2026

Published by The Beauty Signal · ~8,500 words

Sections: Vocabulary Shift · Hero Ingredients in Motion · Formulator-Brand Alignment

The skincare ingredient conversation has a new register, and it does not sound anything like the one that preceded it. For approximately a decade, the vocabulary of product credibility was organised around absence: no parabens, no sulfates, no synthetic fragrance, no "nasties" — a word that would, in a more rigorous industry, be considered a formal disqualification from serious discourse. Fear was the engine. The less a product contained, the more virtuous it was presumed to be. Formulas were optimised for the length of their "free-from" lists rather than the ambition of their actives.

That register has not disappeared. It has been demoted. What has replaced it — or more precisely, what has been layered on top of it by a generation of consumers who grew up reading INCI lists the way their predecessors read nutritional labels — is a vocabulary built around mechanism, not mythology. "Barrier-supporting" instead of "gentle." "Biocompatible" instead of "natural." "Fermented" instead of "botanical." "Postbiotic" instead of "probiotic" — which is itself an upgrade from "culture-infused," which was the creative marketing team's version before the science team arrived. The new language is not just better marketing. It is, in most cases, more accurate. That is the development worth tracking.

This report traces the vocabulary shift from its cultural origins — the Korean formulator influence, the TikTok derm cohort, the DECIEM transparency thesis — through its current expression in specific ingredients and formulations. It argues that squalane, postbiotics, and tremella are not merely trending ingredients but structural signals of how the category has reorganised itself around demonstrable mechanism rather than aspirational botany. It identifies what is overhyped and what is, in certain cases, underappreciated by the very brands deploying these ingredients. And it maps the formulator-brand relationship as the creative model that will define prestige skincare for the next decade — in the same way that the creative director relationship defined fashion houses in the 1990s.

The reader will not find a single mention of "skin-loving" in what follows. That phrase has been retired.

Vocabulary Shift

The fear-based playbook had a good run. From roughly 2010 to 2022, clean beauty's primary rhetorical mechanism was toxicological anxiety: the suggestion that conventional products were not merely ineffective but dangerous, contaminating the skin with endocrine disruptors and "chemicals" — deployed pejoratively, as if the ingredient were the problem rather than its concentration, delivery system, and individual metabolism. This framework produced the "no nasties" genre of product description and the 10-step Korean routine as detoxification ritual. Fear was efficient marketing. It was also, eventually, auditable.

What made it auditable was the consumer it created. The same awareness that made "clean beauty" legible also made its rhetoric legible. When a brand describes its formula as "free from over 1,500 potentially harmful ingredients," the fluent consumer now asks: free from what, at what concentrations? The answer, frequently, is: free from things that were never going to be in a moisturiser to begin with. As noted in a January 2026 analysis by Beauty Independent, ingredients such as niacinamide, squalane, and probiotics are now showing up across formats with the assumption that the consumer already knows what they do — a category-level shift from education to fluency.

The movement had real policy achievements. California's 2020 Toxic-Free Cosmetics Act banned 24 ingredients. The EU's ongoing regulatory tightening restructured formulation practice for any brand seeking European distribution. Ingredient transparency — the simple act of publishing INCI lists in plain English — became a baseline expectation rather than a differentiator. These are not trivial outcomes. But the marketing vehicle that carried them — fear — has suffered the predictable fate of any vehicle driven too hard: it broke down.

"Barrier-Supporting," "Biocompatible," "Fermented," "Upcycled": The New Prestige Signals

"Barrier-supporting" is the term that best illustrates the shift. In its marketing form it is nearly meaningless — virtually every moisturiser made in the last 40 years supports the barrier in the sense that occluding the stratum corneum reduces TEWL. But in its formulation-fluent form, "barrier-supporting" carries a specific claim: that the formula contains functional quantities of ceramides, cholesterol, free fatty acids, or supporting actives (panthenol, centella, niacinamide above 2%) in ratios that approximate the epidermal lipid matrix. The word has not changed. The context has.

"Biocompatible" is doing even more work. At its most precise, it describes a molecular structure that the skin's biology recognises without mounting an inflammatory response — squalane being the canonical example, structurally identical to the squalene in human sebum. At its least precise, it is a synonym for "natural" with a lab coat. Codex Labs has built its entire positioning around biocompatibility-as-philosophy, deploying its proprietary PreservX preservation system as demonstration rather than assertion.

"Fermented" has had a remarkable decade. In its first consumer iteration it arrived with Korean beauty as an appeal to traditional efficacy — galactomyces filtrate, the Pitera mythology of SK-II. In its current iteration it describes something technically more specific: microbial transformation of a substrate that increases bioavailability, generates novel bioactives (postbiotics including short-chain fatty acids, peptides, polysaccharides), and in some cases produces more stable versions of existing actives. As noted in a 2025 analysis by MS Cosmetics Lab, fermented ingredients represent "a paradigm shift towards smarter, more biotechnological cosmetics that respect the cutaneous microbiota."

"Upcycled" has entered formulation copy with velocity. Per Innova Market Insights data published in February 2026 by Personal Care Insights, the upcycled ingredient trend in personal care launches showed an average annual growth rate of 110% from 2021 to 2025. The global upcycled ingredients cosmetics market was valued at USD 301.3 million in 2025 (Research and Markets, December 2025), projected to reach USD 634.6 million by 2035 at 7.7% CAGR. KraveBeauty's Makeup Re-Wined (upcycled grapeseed oil from Napa Valley wine production, per Beauty Independent June 2022) and Farmacy's Bright On exfoliator (fermented lemon peel) represent the legitimate end. The other end — "upcycled hydrolysed rice water" at 0.1% — is already crowded.

"Microbiome-friendly" describes a consumer orientation more than a formulation outcome. The term has expanded to cover everything from low-pH cleansers to post-antibiotic repair serums. The science behind it is real. The breadth of the claim has made it nearly unauditable, which is both its commercial advantage and its credibility liability.

Who Killed the Fear-Based Playbook

Three forces. First, DECIEM and The Ordinary, which launched in 2016 with the then-radical thesis that the ingredient is the product, the name is the formula, and the price reflects actual production cost. By listing "Niacinamide 10% + Zinc 1%" on a bottle at £5 and selling it at Sephora, The Ordinary demonstrated that ingredient transparency was commercially viable at scale. As Allure noted (cited in The Ethos, January 2026), "the brand showcases its transparency promise by listing the exact percentage of active ingredients right in the name, so there's no second-guessing involved." It did not kill aspirational marketing; it made its evasions visible.

Second, TikTok's derm cohort. Dr. Muneeb Shah (@dermdoctor, 18 million+ TikTok followers) noted in 2025 that "misinformation is known to travel six times faster than good information" — and then used his platform to be the faster, more accurate signal. Alongside Dr. Shereene Idriss, whose INCI list literacy video catalysed a measurable shift in consumer label-reading behavior (per Cosmetics and Toiletries), the TikTok derm cohort turned ingredient literacy into spectator sport. Per a Alibaba trade analysis of TikTok skincare trends (December 2025), nearly half of new dermatologist consultations in Q1 2025 began with "I tried that thing on TikTok and my skin broke out." Which is its own kind of progress.

Third, the Korean formulator export. Korean product copy has always described mechanism over mythology — an essence is described by what it deposits into the corneum, not what it feels like. When VENN Skincare (founded by a lawyer and a South Korean nuclear physicist, backed by 25+ years of Korean formulation R&D;) entered Western markets and described its formula in terms of 200nm nano-emulsion delivery systems, it was not making an unusual claim by Korean standards. It was exporting a different standard of disclosure.

> *"Clean" has not died — it has been demoted from claim to baseline. A brand that leads with clean formulation as its primary credential in 2026 is communicating that it has not yet found a secondary one.*

Who Is Still Clinging to It

"Free from 2,700 ingredients" is not a formulation argument. It is a deletion strategy dressed as a standard. Several clean beauty retail channels still organise selection criteria primarily around ingredient exclusion rather than ingredient performance — which creates a systematic selection bias against formulation complexity. A brand with ten actives at clinical concentrations, two of which appear on someone's "maybe" list, can fail a clean standard not because its formula is inferior but because its formula is more ambitious.

The survivorship of the fear-based playbook is most visible in supplement-adjacent skincare, where the regulatory environment is permissive and the consumer is accustomed to efficacy claims that outrun the evidence. Products deployed around "gut-brain-skin axis" or "adaptogen" language without dosage specificity are operating in fear's descendant register: wellness anxiety, which has replaced toxicological anxiety as the primary emotional driver. The concern is no longer "what might this harm?" but "what am I missing?" An evolution, but not yet an upgrade.

■ *Overreach watch: "Microbiome-friendly" applied to products containing standard preservatives — which inhibit microbial growth on skin as well as in-bottle — is a productive contradiction no brand has satisfactorily resolved. As the science of topical microbiome intervention matures, this will become unsustainable.*

Hero Ingredients in Motion

Four ingredients from this report's standing brief — squalane, postbiotics, tremella — plus a broader roster, are analyzed here not as a features list but as case studies in how ingredient narratives develop, peak, and either calcify into commodity or evolve into something more structurally interesting. The question for each is not simply "does it work?" — most of them do — but: what does this ingredient's current narrative reveal about the category's direction?

INGREDIENT	MOTION	REPLACES	CLAIM CREDIBILITY	OVERREACH RISK
Squalane	Maturing / commodity	Mineral oil, silicones	HIGH	Low
Postbiotics	Accelerating	Probiotics, "culture" claims	MEDIUM-HIGH	Medium
Tremella	Accelerating	HA in premium positioning	MEDIUM	High
Bakuchiol	Peaking / plateauing	Retinol (sensitive skin)	HIGH	Medium
Beta-glucan	Accelerating	Niacinamide (soothing)	HIGH	Low
Polyglutamic acid	Emerging	HA as surface humectant	MEDIUM	Low
Ectoin	Emerging	Ceramides (barrier protection)	MEDIUM-HIGH	Low
Exosomes	Early / speculative	Post-procedure actives	LOW-MEDIUM	Very high
Niacinamide	Mature / ubiquitous	Vitamin C, retinol	HIGH	High (overuse)
Upcycled actives	Emerging	Virgin botanical extracts	MEDIUM	Medium

Ingredient motion matrix, May 2026. "Motion" = direction of narrative momentum, not market size.

Squalane: The Ingredient That Ate Its Own Origin Story

Squalane entered consumer skincare with a provenance problem: it was sourced from shark liver oil, an indefensible starting material for an industry increasingly requiring photographable supply chains. The shift to sugarcane-derived squalane was achieved primarily by Amyris — founded by UC Berkeley scientists in 2003, restructured via Chapter 11 in 2024, and currently operating as a private fermentation ingredient supplier — which launched its Neossance™ Squalane in 2011. Using engineered yeast to convert plant sugars into high-purity squalane, Amyris delivered performance characteristics (stability, low odor, consistent lot-to-lot profile) that exceeded the shark-derived standard in the parameters formulators cared about most. The sugarcane-derived squalane market is now valued at USD 1.24 billion in 2025, projected to reach USD 4.12 billion by 2035 at 12.8% CAGR (Future Market Insights, September 2025).

Biossance, launched as Amyris's consumer brand in 2016 and among the top players named in the squalane market (alongside The Ordinary, Indie Lee, Drunk Elephant, and Herbivore Botanicals), built its entire identity around a single ingredient. The thesis was explicit: educate the consumer about squalane's molecular match to human sebum squalene, its non-comedogenic profile, its enhancement of co-formulated actives. It worked — and then it worked so well that the differentiation collapsed. When The Ordinary offers squalane at £6.50, the ingredient has become infrastructure rather than argument. Twelve Beauty (Ondara, Spain) uses it correctly: as carrier and biocompatibility substrate rather than hero, understanding that squalane has graduated from claim to expectation.

■ *The overreach to watch: olive-derived squalane marketed as superior to sugarcane-derived. The performance differential is minimal; the price premium is significant. European brands deploying "Mediterranean-origin" olive squalane as a premium positioning play are making a provenance argument, not a formulation argument. Formulators know this; most consumers do not.*

Postbiotics: The Upgrade the Microbiome Category Needed

Probiotics in cosmetics were always a formulation problem dressed as a concept. Live microorganisms are inherently unstable in topical formats — requiring refrigeration, specific pH ranges, and preservation-free bases that are commercially unviable at scale. The industry deployed probiotic marketing on products containing dead bacterial cultures or extracts that were, by any rigorous definition, already postbiotics. The International

Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics standardised the definition of postbiotics in 2021 as "preparation of inanimate microorganisms and/or their components that confers a health benefit on the host" — a definition that retroactively legitimised approximately 70% of what had been marketed as "probiotic skincare" while providing a more accurate framework for innovation.

Postbiotics are, in formulation terms, significantly more interesting than the category they replaced. Short-chain fatty acids from bacterial fermentation (butyrate, propionate) demonstrably modulate skin's inflammatory response. Lipoteichoic acids from gram-positive bacteria are among the most potent stimulators of ceramide synthesis identified outside topical retinoids. Peptide postbiotics from fermented lactobacillus cultures can inhibit collagen-degrading enzymes more specifically than many synthetic peptides at equivalent concentrations. An August 2025 PubMed-indexed review of postbiotics in functional cosmetics noted that their stability advantages — no live bacteria, no refrigeration, no preservative-free base required — make them ideal for shelf-stable mass-market formats that probiotics could never reliably occupy.

Codex Labs, whose PreservX system uses fermentation-derived antimicrobials rather than conventional preservatives, is the most structurally radical application of postbiotic thinking in Western clean beauty, because it treats the preservation system itself as a microbiome intervention rather than a necessary chemical compromise. VENN Skincare (CEO Brian Oh, in a Beauty Within interview) describes its pre/pro/postbiotic approach as aiming to "restore the skin microbiome balance so that the good bacteria can flourish." The 2026 cosmetics trend analysis by woresan GmbH (February 2026) describes the direction as "complex postbiotic profiles created through ecosystemic fermentation" that strengthen the skin barrier, reduce inflammation, and increase bioavailability — the process transforming not just one substrate but the entire delivery architecture.

■ *Overreach: "postbiotic" applied to any product containing a fermented extract at trace concentration, regardless of postbiotic content or activity. A label claim is not a mechanism. Brands deploying the term on products where the ingredient is "rice ferment filtrate (0.01%)" are retailing a vocabulary item, not a formulation.*

Tremella Fuciformis: The Hydration Claim That Arrived Early

Tremella fuciformis — the snow mushroom, deployed in Traditional Chinese Medicine as a systemic tonic — is having its Western moment, and the marketing has, characteristically, arrived ahead of the peer-reviewed literature. The hydration claim most commonly deployed is "holds 500x its weight in water" (per Graceful Mushrooms, September 2025, and Spectra Clinic, November 2025) — a figure that is accurately sourced but misapplied: bulk water-holding capacity in a dry polymer is not the same as hydration efficacy in a formulated product. The "deeper penetration than hyaluronic acid" claim has meaningful clinical plausibility (tremella's polysaccharide fraction contains smaller particle-size components than most commercial HA) but limited in-vivo cosmetic evidence as of May 2026.

What the science clearly supports: tremella's polysaccharide complex (primarily glucuronoxylomannan) demonstrates antioxidant activity, stimulates type I collagen production in vitro, and reduces TEWL via film-forming properties comparable to mid-weight hyaluronic acid. A detailed March 2026 analysis of tremella versus HA molecular weight distributions for mature skin noted that the widely cited "8x more hydrating than HA" claim "is not supported by peer-reviewed cosmetic research" and represents a bulk measurement extrapolation that does not hold in formulated product. VENN's Regenerative 10B-EXO EGF Ampoule combines tremella-adjacent polysaccharides with peptides and exosome-derived growth factors — the more structurally interesting application, treating tremella as a delivery environment and antioxidant matrix rather than a standalone humectant hero.

■ *Watch the "replaces hyaluronic acid" claim. It does not, at most commercially viable concentrations. It complements HA — surface-sealing, antioxidant-protective, narratively richer — but the mechanism is additive, not substitutive. Brands positioning tremella as an HA replacement are making a marketing argument that the science has not yet* *earned.*

Bakuchiol: Correctly Rehabilitated, Currently Overclaimed

The case for bakuchiol is settled science. The 2019 British Journal of Dermatology randomised double-blind trial (44 subjects, 12 weeks, bakuchiol 0.5% twice daily versus retinol 0.5% daily) found statistically comparable outcomes for wrinkle surface area reduction and hyperpigmentation, with significantly lower rates of facial skin scaling and stinging in the bakuchiol group. A 2026 analysis in Blacktimes.com, reviewing subsequent research, confirms comparable outcomes for fine line reduction over 12 weeks with significantly lower irritation rates. The INKEY List's May 2026 comparative guide arrives at the same conclusion without choosing a winner — which is the accurate position.

The overclaiming arrives in two forms. First, "pregnancy-safe retinol alternative" — derived by inference from bakuchiol's non-retinoid mechanism (no vitamin A activity), which is plausible but not the same as studied in pregnant populations. Second, brands routinely deploy bakuchiol at concentrations well below the 0.5% in the landmark trial. A product containing 0.1% bakuchiol is not "clinically backed retinol-alternative skincare." It is a moisturiser with a small quantity of a polyphenol that, at five times the concentration, performs comparably to retinol. Biossance's squalane + phyto-retinol serum and Twelve Beauty's multi-active antioxidant approach are doing it correctly; the pattern to avoid is leading with bakuchiol in the product name while burying it at position 12 in the INCI.

Beta-Glucan: Infrastructure Ingredient, Underestimated

Beta-glucan has had an unusual trajectory: decades of established use in wound care and dermatological research, followed by a delayed arrival in consumer skincare copy. Vogue named it a 2026 ingredient to know (December 2025), and Youth Lab Direct's March 2026 analysis identified it as "emerging as a powerful alternative or complement to hyaluronic acid" for barrier-compromised skin. Dr. David Jack, quoted in Vogue's 2026 feature, describes it as "a highly effective and composed first responder that extinguishes microscopic fires before they escalate into visible redness or irritation." Beta-glucan at 0.1% demonstrates hydration comparable to hyaluronic acid in independent trials; its immunomodulatory mechanism (binding to pattern recognition receptors on skin immune cells) makes it particularly effective in rosacea, eczema, and contact dermatitis profiles.

Beta-glucan is the rare case in the current ingredient landscape where clinical reality consistently outpaces marketing claims. For a report whose operating premise is that overclaiming is the endemic disease of the category, beta-glucan is a useful counterpoint: an ingredient that is, in May 2026, under-narrated relative to its evidence base.

Ectoin: What Industrial Dermatology Knew First

Ectoin (an extremolyte from bacteria living in salt lakes) has been used in pharmaceutical dermatology for 20 years and arrived in consumer skincare copy around 2023–2024. Its mechanism is specific and well-documented: ectoin forms ordered water molecule structures around lipids, proteins, and cell membranes, providing demonstrable protection against UV stress, pollution-induced oxidative damage, and barrier disruption. It functions upstream of ceramides and niacinamide — protecting cellular structures before they require repair, making it the correct companion to any "barrier first" formulation philosophy. The Independent's March 2026 round-up identified Skinfix's Exo + Ectoin Intensive Repair Baume and The Inkey List's Ectoin Hydro-Barrier as leading consumer formulations. Ectoin is the ingredient most likely to benefit from the precision-barrier era.

Exosomes: The Most Overhyped Ingredient of 2026

Precise language first. Plant-derived exosomes — extracellular vesicles from plant cell cultures — are the commercially available cosmetic ingredient. No FDA-approved cosmetic exosome product exists as of 2026 (Alibaba Beauty Hub, June 2025; BioInformant, May 2025). The EU permits "vesicle" labeling; South Korea as of January 2025 requires clear origin declaration and restricts advertising claims. Human-derived exosomes are essentially universally banned in cosmetics globally. A November 2025 Instagram overview mapping global exosome regulation confirms: "Taiwan and Korea are the strictest and most rapidly tightening markets."

The consumer narrative has run far ahead of this regulatory reality. VENN's 10B-EXO EGF Ampoule — 10 billion exosome vesicles from Panax Ginseng Berry, Centella Asiatica, and Buckwheat, backed by a 23-subject in-vivo clinical study showing improvements in firmness and skin uniformity — is among the more credibly formulated products in the category. The Nymag/Strategist analysis (April 2025) interviewed aesthetician Angela Caglia, who positioned her Cell Forté serum as capable of substituting "vitamin C, retinol, peptides, and hyaluronic acid in one's skincare routine" — a claim that is, in clinical terms, an aspiration rather than a finding. The analyst quoted predicted: "In five to ten years, L'Oréal will likely join the fray, and these will be available for ten dollars at drugstores."

■ *Exosomes carry the widest gap between narrative momentum and evidence base in this report. The mechanism is genuinely exciting. The consumer products claiming it are, with few exceptions, marketing extracellular vesicles at concentrations and delivery conditions that have not been shown to replicate clinical effects observed in professional post-procedure settings. Three years to validate or embarrass itself.*

Niacinamide and Polyglutamic Acid: Infrastructure and the Surface Seal

Niacinamide's problem is the problem of any generalist who got famous: concentration abuse. At 2–5% it is a well-documented multitasker (barrier support, sebum regulation, ceramide synthesis stimulation, brightening via inhibition of melanosome transfer, anti-inflammatory). At 10%+ it is more aggressive than most consumers need and can produce nicotinic acid flushing in some metabolisms. Beauty Independent's January 2026 analysis places it as an active showing up "across formats" as assumption rather than differentiator — infrastructure, like squalane five years ago.

Polyglutamic acid (PGA) is the more interesting humectant story. It holds 4–5 times more moisture than hyaluronic acid per unit weight (Prequelskin, April 2026; Real Simple, March 2026), but its high molecular weight limits penetration: it works at the surface rather than the dermis. The correct application — articulated by the LA Times (August 2025) and multiple independent dermatological sources — is layering HA as the inner humectant with PGA as the outer seal. Inhibition of hyaluronidase (the enzyme that breaks down HA) is PGA's underrated secondary benefit. The LA Times note that "new formulations are combining these acids with snow mushroom [tremella] for better seasonal resilience" describes the direction: a layered humectant architecture where ingredient selection is system-thinking rather than hero-naming.

Formulator-Brand Alignment

There is a structural argument that the most important creative relationship in prestige skincare in 2026 is not between a brand and its campaign photographer or brand ambassador, but between a brand and its lead formulator — and that this relationship is beginning to follow the same logic as the fashion house and its creative director. In fashion, the creative director is the brand's intellectual and aesthetic centre of gravity; the house is the infrastructure. In skincare's emerging model, the formulator is the epistemological centre: the person whose conviction about mechanism, sourcing, and clinical integrity gives the brand its credibility architecture. Some brands have made this relationship visible. Most have not. The ones that have are performing better.

The Rise of the Visible Formulator

The Ordinary made its formulation team visible by necessity: when the ingredient is the brand, the person who chooses the ingredient is the brand's author. DECIEM's science team is the origin point for "product concepts and brand campaigns," CEO Nicola Kilner confirmed to Premium Beauty News in August 2024. "I'm incredibly proud that we're still an organisation that is science-led in all of our decisions," she said — a statement worth monitoring now that DECIEM operates under full Estée Lauder ownership following the completion of the USD 1.7 billion cumulative acquisition. The science-led brand now operates inside the world's second-largest beauty conglomerate. That tension has not yet produced a visible formulation compromise. It is, however, tension.

In the UK independent space, Ada Ooi — founder of 001 Skincare, trained in both Traditional Chinese Medicine and European cosmetic chemistry — represents the formulator-founder who refuses the separation between clinical knowledge and commercial product. Ooi's formulas deploy a specific argument about what the skin's energy systems require, grounded in TCM theory as much as European biochemistry. This is author-driven formulation rather than brief-driven formulation. Liah Yoo of KraveBeauty (founded 2017, USD 50,000 personal investment, B Corporation certified 2024, per Wikipedia) occupies a different position: the formulator-influencer whose public credential is not a chemistry degree but demonstrable ingredient literacy communicated to 1.2 million YouTube subscribers before she wrote a single INCI list. "A lot of the time, Korean skincare brands can be very trend-driven. That trend cycle is something I wanted to break," she told Buro 247 Malaysia in 2024. KraveBeauty's Makeup Re-Wined face oil — upcycled grapeseed oil from Napa Valley wine harvest — is the clearest product expression of this: a sourcing decision that generates a formulation story, not the reverse.

Charlotte Yau of Muihood (founded 2021, stocked at Liberty London and Cult Beauty) represents a third model: the heritage formulator, deploying TCM ingredient knowledge that cannot be replicated by a contract manufacturer following a brief. As Yau told Liberty London (September 2023), Muihood was launched in part because she "started seeing traditional Chinese medicine becoming a fad in Western skincare. Worried that the narrative of her culture was being diluted and turned into a social media trend, she pays homage to its 3,000-year-old medical system." The Chi Cleansing Balm — soybean oil, goji berry, ginseng root — is not the most clinically ambitious product in this report. But it is among the most intellectually honest.

Who Owns an Ingredient Narrative — and Who Is Retrofitting One

BRAND	FORMULATION AUTHORITY	OWNED INGREDIENT NARRATIVE	RETROFIT RISK
Biossance	Amyris biotech (parent is the supplier)	Sugarcane squalane — pre-dates category consensus	Low — vertical integration is the argument
Augustinus Bader	Prof. Bader wound-healing TFC8 research	TFC8 proprietary complex — published academic origin	Low — peer-reviewed origin story
The Ordinary / DECIEM	Science-led team, % transparency convention	Clinical actives at disclosed concentrations	Medium — Estée Lauder ownership creates pressure
VENN Skincare	25+ yrs Korean formulation R&D;	Concentric Technology™ nano-emulsion delivery	Low — technical specificity is the story
Codex Labs	PreservX fermentation preservation system	Biocompatibility as architecture, not claim	Low — process is the differentiator
KraveBeauty	Liah Yoo ingredient literacy (documented)	Minimalism + upcycled sourcing	Low — B Corp certified, sourcing transparent
Muihood	Charlotte Yau TCM heritage knowledge	TCM botanicals — cultural authority	Low — community, not commodity
Twelve Beauty	Spanish independent, 12 bio-based actives	Alpine / Mediterranean botanical architecture	Medium — limited clinical documentation public
Stratia	Founder science literacy (published process)	Lipid-ratio barrier formulation philosophy	Low — transparent about mechanism
Generic heritage brand (retrofit)	Marketing department, brief-driven manufacture	Postbiotic / tremella copy added 2024–25	High — no supply chain transparency

Brand-formulator alignment index, May 2026. Retrofit risk = probability that ingredient narrative does not reflect actual formulation decisions.

The distinction between owning an ingredient narrative and retrofitting one is legible if you read the timeline rather than the current marketing. Biossance owns squalane. Augustinus Bader owns TFC8. The Ordinary owns the clinical percentage convention. These are ownership claims established before the category had a consensus vocabulary — which is the only kind of ownership that holds. Brands that are retrofitting ingredient narratives in 2026 are identifiable by a specific pattern: existing with one positioning (clean, natural, artisanal) and adding ingredient-density language in the last 18 months without reformulating the products. The before-and-after is visible in archived web copy, if anyone cares to look.

The Industrial Biotech Tension: Who Actually Writes the Story

The most structurally interesting tension in formulator-brand alignment is not between artisanal and mass brands. It is between the artisanal brand's narrative sovereignty and the industrial biotech supplier's actual control of the ingredient story. When Biossance says "sugarcane squalane," it is making a proprietary claim because Biossance is Amyris's consumer vehicle and Amyris makes the squalane. When a founder-led indie brand says "fermented postbiotic complex from sustainably sourced lactobacillus" and sources it from a German or Korean biotech supplier, the narrative belongs to the supplier — the brand is retailing a story it did not author.

The ingredient suppliers — Givaudan (which acquired Amyris's ingredient operations), Evonik, Lucas Meyer, DSM-Firmenich, Clariant, and the Korean biotech houses — are the actual authors of most ingredient narratives that independent brands claim. PreservX belongs to Codex Labs as a proprietary system. But most "fermented tremella polysaccharide" in a £48 indie serum comes from a supplier whose documentation the brand has licensed rather than created. The question of who sets the narrative is, at scale, answered by the commodity supplier. This is the tension that the next decade's formulator-brand model will have to resolve.

The brands most capable of navigating it are those that treat the supplier relationship as co-authorship. Tata Harper's Vermont farm model — ingredients grown and processed on-site, a supply chain that cannot be replicated by a brand sourcing from the same Givaudan catalogue — is the most extreme case of narrative ownership. It is not replicable at commercial scale. The Grown Alchemist model — detailed technical communication with ingredient suppliers, published at formulator-level specificity — is more commercially scalable. Both represent responses to the same structural problem: in a category where the ingredient has become the brand, the brand that does not control the ingredient is renting its own story.

> *In a category where the ingredient has become the brand, the brand that does not control the ingredient is renting its own story.*

Redefining "Backed by Science"

"Backed by science" has undergone the same inflation as "clinically tested." A randomised controlled trial published in a peer-reviewed journal is science. A supplier-provided in-vitro study on a single cell line, cited as a product efficacy claim, is a gesture toward science. A brand's use of a dermatologist's photograph in its marketing without any involvement of that dermatologist in formulation decisions is not science; it is cosplay.

The consumer-facing distinction being drawn in 2026 is between brands that specify — concentration, mechanism, study design, end point — and brands that imply. The Ordinary's "Niacinamide 10% + Zinc 1%" is a science claim because it contains a concentration. A premium moisturiser describing "ceramide-boosting technology" without specifying which ceramides, at what concentration, and by what mechanism is an implication. The Ordinary female formulators piece (March 2026) articulates the direction clearly: "the skincare industry is moving away from traditional marketing-based products toward targeted, science-based formulations." As noted in a Fair Marrow analysis (2026), there is still no FDA definition of clean beauty — and that regulatory gap continues to permit the implication-as-science register. The movement is real. The destination is not yet arrived.

The Ordinary changed what "honest" meant in skincare marketing. Augustinus Bader changed what "expensive" meant — by making the price inseparable from a published research origin. The next move belongs to the formulator-founder who can do both: deploy clinical specificity at a price point accessible enough to make the implied comparison to legacy brands uncomfortable. That brand has not fully arrived yet. Several are in formation.

What to Watch in the Next 12 Months

Five to eight specific, falsifiable predictions for the period May 2026–May 2027:

1. **Postbiotics moves from serum to body care at scale.** Three or more top-10 prestige brands will launch postbiotic body formulations with disclosed concentration data by Q2 2027. The category migration follows the pattern of niacinamide, which moved from serum to body within 18 months of peak facial saturation. Watch for Dove/Unilever and L'Oréal to be early movers.

2. **Tremella overtakes hyaluronic acid in premium SKU naming within one major retail channel.** Sephora or Space NK will add a "tremella" category filter to their ingredient navigation within 12 months. Brands have already seeded the vocabulary; the retail infrastructure will follow. The "8x more hydrating" claim will, in the same period, be formally challenged by at least one regulator.

3. **A top-10 brand launches a "formulator edition" sub-line.** Following the logic that the creative director sub-line model works in fashion, at least one major brand will launch a formulator-named capsule collection where the formulator's name and published methodology appear on-pack. Charlotte Tilbury or a DECIEM-adjacent brand is most likely.

4. **Exosomes hit a regulatory checkpoint that cools the consumer market.** Either the FDA issues guidance on cosmetic "exosome" claims (analogous to the 2020 stem cell warning), or a high-profile brand pulls exosome claims after regulatory pressure. The clinical exosome space (aesthetic medicine) will continue; consumer cosmetics will face forced vocabulary correction.

5. **Ectoin becomes the "new niacinamide" in brand copy.** It has the mechanism, the safety profile, the industrial dermatology pedigree, and the consumer legibility gap that niacinamide had in 2018. Within 12 months, five or more Sephora-distributed brands will have ectoin in the top three claims of a flagship product.

6. **The upcycled ingredients market produces its first major greenwashing case.** A brand deploying "upcycled" supply chain claims will be challenged — by a NGO, a journalist, or a regulator — on the basis that its upcycled ingredient is present at sub-meaningful concentrations or that its supply chain documentation does not substantiate the circular economy claim. The upcycled cosmetics market's rapid growth (301.3M in 2025, 7.7% CAGR) ensures sufficient commercial targets.

7. **Beta-glucan achieves formulator-floor status.** Within 12 months, beta-glucan appears as a baseline ingredient in new barrier-focused product launches at the same frequency that ceramides reached in 2022. It will transition from differentiator to infrastructure at scale — which is, for a functional ingredient, a success outcome.

8. **The first "formulator transparency" certification or scoring system launches.** As ingredient narrative inflation peaks, a third-party body (likely independent, likely digital-native, possibly an extension of an existing science communication platform) will launch a formulator transparency index: scoring not the ingredient list but the disclosed relationship between the brand and its formulation decision-making. It will not achieve consensus quickly. It will change the conversation.

Sources

Vocabulary Shift

- Beauty Independent. "Top Skincare Trends For 2026—And Those Losing Their Sizzle." Jan 11 2026. beautyindependent.com/top-skincare-trends-2026-those-losing-sizzle/
- Beauty Sculpting Room. "What is Clean Beauty in 2026?" Jan 19 2026. beautysculptingroom.co.uk
- Atlantis Press. "The Growth in Popularity of Clean Beauty: Marketing Model." ICBDSS-25 Proceedings. Feb 2026.
- The Ordinary / DECIEM. "Meet Our Female Formulators." theordinary.com. Mar 12 2026.
- Premium Beauty News. "DECIEM plans TikTok Shop, pharmacy and flagship store expansion." Aug 26 2024.
- Fair Marrow. "What Clean Beauty Actually Means in 2026." fairmarrow.com.
- Elle UK. "A Dermatologist's Guide To Navigating The TikTok Skincare Bubble." Apr 20 2025.
- Beauty Matter / TikTok trends. "Trends Taking Over TikTok: January 2025." Feb 16 2025.
- MS Cosmetics Lab. "Fermented Ingredients in Skincare." 2025.
- Research and Markets. "Upcycled Ingredients in Cosmetics Market." Dec 2025. researchandmarkets.com
- Fortune Business Insights. "Upcycled Cosmetic Ingredients Market Size." 2025.
- Cosmetics and Toiletries. "Beauty's Circular Revolution: Upcycled Ingredients Rise." Nov 16 2025.

Hero Ingredients in Motion

- Future Market Insights. "Sugarcane-Derived Squalane Market." Sep 2025.
- Vogue. "Beta Glucan Is The Skincare Ingredient You Need To Know In 2026." Dec 22 2025. vogue.com/article/beta-glucan
- Vogue. "2026's Biggest Skincare Trends." Jan 5 2026. vogue.com/article/2026s-biggest-skincare-trends-to-try-now
- LA Times. "Polyglutamic Acid vs. Hyaluronic Acid." Aug 7 2025.
- Real Simple. "Polyglutamic Acid Benefits." Mar 6 2026.
- Prequelskin. "Hyaluronic Acid vs Polyglutamic Acid." Apr 22 2026.
- INKEY List. Bakuchiol vs Retinol guide. May 2026. theinkeylist.com
- Blacktimes.com. Bakuchiol 2026 review.
- The Independent. "Best Ectoin Products for 2026." Mar 17 2026. independent.co.uk
- Youth Lab Direct. "Beta-Glucan." Mar 2026.
- LA Times. "12 Trending Skincare Ingredients." Apr 2 2025.
- New York Magazine / Strategist. "Are Exosomes Really a Life-Changing Skin-Care Ingredient?" Apr 30 2025. nymag.com
- woresan GmbH. 2026 Cosmetics Trend Analysis. Feb 2026.
- Pravada Private Label. "Trending Skincare Ingredients Your Brand Should Launch in 2026." Feb 18 2026.
- PubMed. Postbiotics in Functional Cosmetics. Aug 2025.
- ISAPP. Postbiotic Definition Consensus Statement. 2021.
- Alibaba.com. "TikTok Skincare Trends 2025." Dec 15 2025.
- Accio.com. "Niacinamide Trends 2026." May 9 2026.

Formulator-Brand Alignment

- Wikipedia. "Liah Yoo." Updated Feb 17 2026. en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Liah_Yoo
- Verge Magazine. "Liah Yoo Partners with Cult Beauty." Jan 28 2024.
- Stylight Magazine. "From beauty vlogger to entrepreneur — KraveBeauty." stylight.com
- Liberty London. "Meet the Makers: Charlotte Yau of Muihood." Sep 14 2023. libertylondon.com
- Cult Beauty. Muihood brand page. cultbeauty.co.uk
- Scramble Up. "Muihood: Discovering Ancient Wisdom." May 14 2026.
- Raydar Magazine. "Muihood Is Celebrating Heritage Beauty." Aug 4 2022.
- Premium Beauty News. "DECIEM plans TikTok Shop, pharmacy and flagship store expansion." Aug 26 2024.

- NIOD / DECIEM. "About" + "Founder" pages. niod.com
- Beauty Within. VENN Skincare interview with CEO Brian Oh. 2024/2025.
- Fair Marrow. Clean Beauty definition. 2026.
- Innova Market Insights. Upcycled Beauty Ingredient launch data. 2021–2025.